UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V.
NAYEEM MEHTAB CHOWDHURY,	X :
Plaintiff, - against -	VERDICT FORM
WOLDTEL BANGLADESH HOLDING, LTD., and AMJAD HOSSAIN KHAN,	CV-08-1659 (BMC)
Defendants.	· ; X
Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of th torture?  YES	e evidence that defendant Khan is liable for
2. Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of th Holding, Ltd. is liable for torture?  YES	e evidence that defendant Worldtel Bangladesh
3. If your answer to either Question 1 and/or Q find in compensatory damages? 41.5 million	Question 2 is "YES," what is the total amount you
4. If your answer to Question 1 is "YES," did that punitive damages should be awarded again	plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence ast defendant Khan?
YES X NO	
5. If your answer to Question 4 is "YES," wha against defendant Khan?	t is the total amount you find in punitive damages
6. If your answer to Question 2 is "YES," did that punitive damages should be awarded again	plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence ast defendant Worldtel Bangladesh Holding, Ltd.?
YES NOX	

COURT EXHIBIT

Cv-08-1659

## 

7. If your answer to Question 6 is "YES," what is the against defendant Worldtel Bangladesh Holding, Ltd.	ne total amount you find in punitive damages d.?
We, the Jury duly empanelled and sworn in the above do find the above verdict.	ve-entitled action, upon our oaths,  Foreperson

Dated: Brooklyn, New York August 4, 2009